Hon'ble B.C. Kandpal, J.

Heard Sri Chetan Joshi, learned counsel for the petitioner, Sri Paresh Tripathi, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents and perused the record.

The petitioner was initially appointed as Assistant Teacher in L.T. grade on 08.12.1998 and after about 6 months of his services, the appointment was cancelled and the services of the petitioner were terminated vide order dated 17th June, 1999.

The record further reveals that the petitioner was again appointed vide order 18.06.1999, i.e., immediately on the next day of his termination although the appointment letter shows that the petitioner shall join his services w.e.f. 01.07.1999.

The petition is now working till date after his reappointment.

Paragraph 8 of the writ petition shows that the petitioner continued to perform his duties upto 14.05.1999 and the college was closed for summer vacation from 15.05.1999 to 01.07.1999.

The subsequent appointment of the petitioner itself indicates that initially the appointment of the petitioner was not illegal. Thereafter, the break in services in the aforesaid circumstances, shall be ignored for the purpose of seniority and other benefits in services.

With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition is finally disposed of.

Dt. : 04.07.2005 Sd/-
Shiv